

Main differences P6, Netburst, Core 2, Core i3 - i9

Intel's x86 evolution is defined by massive shifts in architectural philosophy, moving from the efficiency of the P6 to the raw clock-speed focus of NetBurst, before swinging back to efficiency with Core 2 and refining into the smart, modular Core i3–i9 lineup.

Here is the direct comparison of how these four major microarchitectures differ across core metrics:

Attribute	P6 Architecture (1995)	NetBurst Architecture (2000)	Core 2 Architecture (2006)	Core i3 / i5 / i7 / i9 (Nehalem to Present)
Design Philosophy	Efficiency & IPC: Smart instruction execution at moderate clock speeds.	Clock Speed Raw Power: Extremely deep pipelines to achieve maximum GHz.	IPC Restoration: Abandoned NetBurst to focus on wide, power-efficient execution.	Scalability & Intelligence: Modular design, integrated components, and dynamic boosting.
Pipeline Depth	12–14 stages	20–31 stages (Extremely deep)	14 stages	14–16+ stages (Deep but highly optimized)
Multi-Core Approach	Single-core only (relied on multi-socket motherboards).	Dual-core via Dual-Die MCM (Pentium D; two separate chips glued together).	Native Dual-Core (Conroe); Quad-cores were MCM (two dual-cores glued together).	Native Monolithic Multi-Core , evolving into modern Hybrid Architectures (P-cores + E-cores).
Cache & Bus Evolution	Introduced dedicated full-speed L2 cache on-package.	Introduced Execution Trace Cache; suffered from high bus latency.	Shared L2 cache between cores, vastly improving communication.	Smart L3 Cache shared across all cores; replaced the front-side bus with high-speed point-to-point links.
Key Architectural Novelty	Out-of-Order Execution (OoO): Decoded x86 into RISC-like micro-ops.	Hyper-Threading (SMT): Allowed one physical core to run two threads.	Wide Dynamic Execution: Could fetch and execute more instructions per clock cycle.	Turbo Boost & Integrated GPU: Moved the memory controller and graphics onto the CPU die.

Architectural Breakdowns

1. P6 Architecture: The Foundations of Modern Computing

Introduced with the **Pentium Pro**, P6 fundamentally changed how Intel CPUs processed data. Instead of executing complex x86 instructions directly, it decoded them into simpler, fixed-length

RISC-like instructions called **micro-ops (μops)**. It then executed these μops **Out-of-Order**, meaning the CPU didn't get stuck waiting for a clogged instruction line; it worked on whatever data was ready first. This efficiency meant it could do a massive amount of work per clock cycle (high Instructions Per Cycle, or IPC).

2. NetBurst: The High-GHz Gamble

With NetBurst (Pentium 4), Intel changed strategies completely. They bet that consumers wanted raw clock speed (Gigahertz) above all else. To achieve this, they created a massive **Hyper-Pipeline** (up to 31 stages deep). Think of it like a long assembly line: because each step does very little work, you can run the conveyor belt incredibly fast.

However, this design had two fatal flaws:

- **The Branch Misprediction Penalty:** If the CPU guessed wrong about what instruction was coming next, it had to flush the entire 31-stage pipeline, wasting massive amounts of time and energy.
- **The Thermal Wall:** Running at such high clock speeds generated immense, unmanageable heat. Intel famously had to cancel plans for a 4.0 GHz Pentium 4 because it ran too hot to cool with consumer hardware.

3. Core 2: The Efficiency U-Turn

Realising NetBurst was a dead end, Intel abandoned it. They went back to the older P6 notebook blueprints (Pentium M) and modernized them to create the **Core microarchitecture**. Core 2 slashed the pipeline depth back down to a sane 14 stages, widened the execution engine, and focused entirely on performance-per-watt. It achieved drastically higher performance than the Pentium 4 while running at much lower clock speeds and consuming a fraction of the power. It also ushered in the mainstream multi-core era with shared L2 caches.

4. Core i3 / i5 / i7 / i9: The Smart Era

Beginning with the Nehalem architecture, Intel dropped the "Core 2" naming scheme for the **Core i-series**. This era shifted the focus from just raw execution to total system integration and modularity:

- **Uncore Integration:** Intel moved the memory controller (and later, the graphics processor) directly onto the CPU die, eliminating the slow motherboard Front-Side Bus (FSB) bottleneck.
- **Dynamic Scaling:** Features like **Turbo Boost** allowed the CPU to automatically overclock itself when thermal headroom allowed, while advanced power gating could completely shut down idle cores to save energy.
- **Hybrid Architecture:** Modern iterations (12th Gen through Core Ultra) break the CPU into performance-heavy **P-cores** (built for gaming and heavy workloads) and power-efficient **E-cores** (built for background tasks), maximizing efficiency.

**** End of Page**